PHYSIOMCS rational therapeutics

Predicting and optimizing drug combinations

In recent years there has been great interest in determining synergistic drug combinations. A difficulty, The two drugs have different mechanisms of action, and lead to cell progression delay in different phases of the cell cycle. We therefore however, is that the number of different possible schedules increases combinatorially when more than sought a schedule that would maximize the effect of the two drugs through cell synchronization, timing effects and PK time course In order to accurately model drug effect on cell cycle progression and calibrate one drug is considered, so it is very hard to know what schedules should be tested. Physiomics, a profiles. In particular, we focused on the sequence and offset time between the two drug injections. By using the Virtual Tumor, it was the Virtual Tumor, we generated experimental PD and PK data obtained from computational biology company based in Oxford UK, has therefore developed a predictive PK-PD "Virtual possible to scan a high number of drug injection possibilities and predict which regimens could lead to the best synergy between the two single drug injection. Tumor sample analysis was done at different time points Tumour" model that allows us to rationally design schedules for drug combinations [1]. In this poster, we drugs. after dosing (from 0 to 72 hours, 4 mice per time point). show how the Virtual Tumor was used to predict and optimize a gemcitabine-docetaxel combination.

The combination of fixed-dose-rate gemcitabine and docetaxel has become an established first- or second-line treatment option for many types of cancer [2-3]. Several clinical studies have attempted to improve efficacy of these two drugs by designing innovative gemcitabine-docetaxel sequences and schedules in various cancer types [reviewed by 4].

We have entered a collaboration with the French CRO Oncodesign to validate our model. By producing xenograft and biomarker data of each drug in isolation, we have built a Virtual Tumour capable of predicting the outcome of various regimens using this combination, and demonstrated how optimal administration schemas can be determined in silico.

Experimental methods

Test substances:

- docetaxel prepared in Ethanol/Polysorbate 80/saline (5/5/90)
- gemcitabine prepared in saline
- **Tumor material:** human MX-1 breast carcinoma
- Animals: Swiss Nude mice (Charles River, France)
- Tumor induction and route of drug administration:
 - Sub-cutaneous implantation of MX-1 tumor fragment to Nude mice
- Randomization of mice based on tumor volumes at 100-200 mm3 (or 300-400 mm3 for PK/PD study) • IV bolus injection of docetaxel through the tail vein
- IP injection of gemcitabine
- Monitoring:
- Daily monitoring of mouse survival
- Twice a week monitoring of mouse body weight and tumour volumes

Physiomics Virtual Tumour technology

The 'Virtual Tumour' (Figure 1) is a sophisticated computer model that simulates tumour cell division and 22 24 26 18 20 28 the effect of antineoplastic drugs, taking into consideration the differences between proliferative cells Time post tumour implantation (days) and those that are part of the necrotic core. The complexity of the model is deliberately constrained so Fig. 2. Biomarker expression levels in treated tumour. Topoisomerase II and phospho-histone H3 in vivo 19 and 26. Right panel: mean body weight for each group. that it can be parameterised with data that is usually produced during drug development. This data target inhibition were measured in xenograft MX-1 tumors at 8-hour intervals after single Gemcitabine includes PK data for the drug, biomarkers showing the cell population response, and xenograft growth and Docetaxel injection. In each cell, top figure are the experimental measurements and bottom figure measurements showing how tumour growth is affected. This technology provides a rationale for designing is a simulated time course after model calibration. an appropriate schedule, and allows our partners to prioritise the most effective drug combinations.



[1] D. Orrell and E. Fernandez, Using Predictive Mathematical Models to Optimise the Scheduling of Anti-Cancer Drugs, Innovations in Pharmaceutical Technology, p59-62, Fig. 1. Physiomics Virtual Tumour simulation platform. The Virtual Tumour is a computer simulation of a growing tumour, which Fig. 3. Pharmacokinetic timecourse profiles. Top: PK profiles were determined for each drug in plasma June 2010. integrates the cell division dynamic with the effect of antineoplastic agents. The platform is composed of PK models of the drugs of and tumor after single injection. Middle: Simulated time courses (solid lines) are overlaid with [2] Hensley M, Current Opinion in Oncology, July 2010 - Volume 22 - Issue 4 - p 356-361 interest, as well as a pharmacodynamic model of cell cycle progression. Drug effect can be calibrated by using various data sources: in experimental values in tumor (squares). Bottom: PK compartmental models built for each drug. [3] Faruk T et al., Medical Oncology, Volume 21, Number 3, 233-240 vivo target inhibition (IVTI), xenograft growth timecourses, flow cytometry and public literature data. [4] Maki R, The Oncologist, Vol. 12, No. 8, 999-1006, August 2007

Modeling the sequence-sensitive gemcitabine-docetaxel combination using the Virtual Tumor

Eric Fernandez¹, David Orrell¹, Caroline Mignard², Zina Koob², Damien France², Nicolas Hoffmann², Francis Bichat², David Fell¹, <u>Christophe Chassagnole¹</u>

¹Physiomics plc, The Oxford Science Park, Oxford, OX4 4GA, United Kingdom. Tel. +44 (0)1865 784980. Email: efernandez@physiomics-plc.com ²Oncodesign, 20 rue Jean Mazen, 21076 Dijon, France





PK and PD study of Gemcitabine and Docetaxel for Virtual Tumor calibration

Pharmacodynamic data

Figure 2 shows detection of phospho-histone H3 and topoisomerase II in O.C.T embedded tumor samples by immunohistochemistry. PD model was calibrated using these IVTI data: these helped understand the effect of each drug on MX-1 cell-cycle progression and calibrate the timing-related effect of each drug.



Pharmacokinetic data

Docetaxel and gemcitabine levels were determined in plasma and frozen tumor samples using HPLC/MS-MS method. Calibrated PK models for each drug were built for use in Virtual Tumor (Figure 3).



Predicting xenograft growth drug combination

We identified 3 schedules that were of particular interest (Figure 4):

- one schedule expected to result in the worst synergy: Gemcitabine then Docetaxel 4 hours later; • two schedules expected to result in better synergy: Gemcitabine then Docetaxel 12 hours apart and Docetaxel then Gemcitabine 10 hours apart.
- Four cycles of drug administration were made for each regimen. Total drug dose was constant in all regimens (each dose: Gemcitabine 60 mg/kg, Docetaxel 7.5 mg/kg).

Drug sequence	Offset	
Gemcitabine \rightarrow Docetaxel	4 hours	
Gemcitabine \rightarrow Docetaxel	12 hours	
Docetaxel \rightarrow Gemcitabine	10 hours	

7 days _____ Fig. 4. Selected drug combination schedules. Gemcitabine (blue bars) and docetaxel (red) are administered every 7 days using specific injection offset in each case.

The three schedules were experimentally tested in xenograft models, along with no-drug or single-drug treatment controls (Figures 5 & 6).





Fig. 6. Plots of tumor growth for predicted combination regimens. The three schedules shown in Figure 4 are identified by corresponding colored arrows. Individual tumor size (thin black line), mean tumor size (thick black line), median (green), prediction (red).

Virtual Tumor allowed us to predict a combination regimen ~50% more efficient than another predicted regimen that was lacking synergy. Furthermore, this level of efficacy could be obtained with a lower dose of docetaxel than typically used in xenograft studies (7.5 mg/kg vs 10 mg/kg). Finally, toxicity was not higher in the more efficient combinations, as shown in mean body weight plots. This shows how critical timing can be when administrating drugs having different mechanisms of action and how predictive models could be used for optimization.

Conclusion

We have demonstrated that the Virtual Tumor could be used to predict the outcome of various gemcitabine - docetaxel combination regimens and to optimize the delivery schedule. Using PD and PK data that can be gathered during the development of a drug, this could lead to dramatic improvement in its usage, efficacy and prevent loss of synergy due to timing issues. This technology can be used to design new regimens with proprietary compounds as well as standard of care, small molecules or biotherapeutical agents; help test possible schedules for combinations of different drugs that would be effectively impossible to investigate experimentally; and allow prioritisation of the most effective drug combinations.

References:







www.physiomics-plc.com